



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/941,585	08/30	0/2001	Henri Georges Bois	P64439US1	6404
136	7590	09/12/2003			
	N HOLMAN	· <del>-</del>	EXAMINER		
400 SEVENTH STREET N.W. SUITE 600				TAWFIK, SAMEH	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3721	10
				DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Anti-us Commence	09/941,585	BOIS, HENRI GEORGES					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721					
Period for Reply	rears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	<u>luly 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 8 and 9 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
<del></del>							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some c) ☐ None of.  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/463,411</u> .							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/941,585

Art Unit: 3721

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobreski (5,682,730) in view of Paxton (3,417,864).

Dobreski discloses an installation and method step for manufacturing bags each of the bags having two closure strips (via 22) and a cursor (via 32) slidably mounted on said two closure strips, the closure strips and the cursor being identical between the bags, see for example (Figs. 2-5); sliding each cursor (32) along uppermost edges of the closure strips at a respective distance from one end of the closure strips (Fig. 4) with the cursor of one bag contacting the uppermost edge of at least one of the two closure strips of an adjacent bag, see for example (Figs. 3-5; via the bags in the middle of the stack, its cursor is contacting the other closure strips of the adjacent bag). Dobreski does not disclose that slidingly the cursors in such a manner that the distances are different between every two adjacent and successive bags. However, Paxton discloses a similar bags manufacturing comprising that slidingly the cursors (via closure 15) in such a manner that the distances are different between every two adjacent and successive bags, see for example (Fig. 3) to provide a flexible bag stack (column 2, lines 26-40).

Therefore, it would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Dobreski's an installation and method step for

Page 3

manufacturing bags by having slidingly the cursors in such a manner that the distances are different between every two adjacent and successive bags, as suggested by Paxton, in order to provide a flexible bag stack.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is (703) 308-2809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rada, Rinaldi can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 308-7769 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

ST.

September 9, 2003

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700